

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,726	07/15/2003	Charles L. Gray JR.	310121.404	9061
34212 7590 05/07/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			AVERY, BRIDGET D	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/620,726	GRAY, CHARLES L.			
		Examiner .	Art Unit			
		Bridget Avery	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	arch 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16,19-27 and 41-43 is/are pending is 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are with the Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15, 19, 20, 23-27 and 41-43 is/are reclaim(s) 16,21 and 22 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 31, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 and 19-27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-15, 25-27 and 41- 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forster (US Patent 4,252,508).

Forster teaches an assembly similar to applicant's including:

- > A first and second pump/motor (30, 31)
- > A first and second drive plate assembly (9, 10)
- > A common shaft (8)
- The plate assemblies (9, 10) are in hard contact with a first and second end of the shaft (8), respectively, in a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the shaft (8)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

➤ The plate assemblies (9, 10) and shaft (8) acting as a solid element when under compression to cancel axial loads generated by the pump/motors (30, 31) through the shaft (8)

- > A first and second tapered annular roller bearing (32, 33)
- > A spacer/gear (6, 7) provided in the gap between annular bearing (32, 33) and plate (9, 10), as shown in Figure 1
- ➤ A torque transferring assembly/unit (5, 20, 24)
- ➤ Re claim 7, see column 2, lines 55-63. Re claims 6 and 10, the bearings are positioned in the housing (1). Re claims 25 and 27, the method of operating a plurality of pump motors and the method of improving the efficiency of two or more opposing pump/motors is inherently disclosed. The rational for this inherency is that the prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the claimed method. See MPEP 211.02. Re claims 8, 42 and 43, the pistons (11, 13) are actuators that control displacement changes of each of the first and second pump/motors (30, 31) to balance pressure.
- 3. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forster ('508).

Forster teaches an assembly similar to applicant's including:

- > First and second pump/motors (30, 31)
- > A torque transferring device (5)
- > A first and second bearing (32, 33) coupled to a common shaft (8)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,726 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

> A housing (1)

- > First and second seals (35, 36)
- ➤ The seals (35, 36) divide the housing into a first, second and third region, as shown in Figures 1 and 2.
- 4. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forster ('508).

Forster teaches an assembly similar to applicant's including:

- > First and second pump/motors (30, 31)
- > A torque transferring device (5, 20, 24)
- > A shaft defined by the pistons (11, 13) coupled by integrally formed forks (34)
- > The torque transferring device including gears (20, 24) that transmit torque from one shaft (5) to a common shaft (8)
- 5. Claims 1-15, 19, 20, 23-27 and 41- 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thoma (CH 325587).

Thoma teaches an assembly similar to applicant's including:

- ➤ A first and second pump/motor
- > A first and second drive plate assembly (TF)
- ➤ A common shaft (AW)
- > The plate assemblies are in hard contact with a first and second end of the shaft, respectively, in a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the shaft

Application/Control Number: 10/620,726 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

➤ The plate assemblies (TF) and shaft (AW) acting as a solid element when under compression to cancel axial loads generated by the pump/motors through the shaft (AW)

- > A first and second tapered annular roller bearing (RL)
- > A spacer provided in the gap between annular bearing (RL) and plate (TF), as shown in Figure 1
- A torque transferring assembly/unit (HK)
- ➤ Re claims 19 and 20, see seals (LA, LR). Re claims 25 and 27, the method of operating a plurality of pump motors and the method of improving the efficiency of two or more opposing pump/motors is inherently disclosed. The rational for this inherency is that the prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the claimed method. See MPEP 211.02. Re claims 8, 42 and 43, the pistons (K) are actuators that control displacement changes of each of the first and second pump/motors to balance pressure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster (508).

Forster teaches the features described above.

Forster lacks the teaching of the spacer/key having a thickness sufficient to just bridge the distance between respective drive plates and bearings.

Page 6

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to increase the thickness of the spacer/key, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoma (587).

Thoma teaches the features described above.

Thoma lacks the teaching of the spacer/key having a thickness sufficient to just bridge the distance between respective drive plates and bearings.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to increase the thickness of the spacer/key, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3618

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

April 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS' SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600